UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,988	01/18/2006	Yukuo Katayama	126599	7174
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 03/11/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	PO, MING CHEUNG		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		4,988	KATAYAMA, YUI	KATAYAMA, YUKUO	
		ner	Art Unit		
	MING	CHEUNG PO	1797		
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TI - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxir - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DATE OF visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no s communication. num statutory period will apply are reply will, by statute, cause the onths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNI o event, however, may a nd will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 Responsive to communication(2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the p 	2b)⊡ This action i lition for allowance exce	is non-final. ept for formal mat	-	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to r	_ is/are withdrawn from to.				
9) The specification is objected to	by the Everniner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object	s/are: a) accepted or objection to the drawing uding the correction is rec	s) be held in abeyar quired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This is the response to amendment for application 10/564988 filed on 12/19/2008.
- 2. Claims 1 15 are pending and have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- Claims 1 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by KAMEI
 (U.S. 4,702,745) .
- 5. KAMEI teaches a process for dewatering water-containing coal, in lines 15 31 of column 3 that comprises heating a high moisture porous structure of the solid in a fluid medium having an elevated temperature and a high pressure, thereby reducing the moisture of the solid, starting to compress the porous structure of the solid by mechanical means, while maintaining the temperature and the pressure of the surrounding fluid medium the same as in the final stage of the step (1) and (3) lowering the pressure of the surrounding fluid medium while maintaining the mechanical compression of the solid.

KAMEI teaches in lines 38 – 41 of column 3 that the temperature of the heating medium is more than 180°C and preferably between 230 to 350°C (temperature of

Art Unit: 1797

100°C to 350°C).

KAMEI also teaches in lines 29 – 36 of column 8 that dewatering in the heating step is carried out so as to remove the moisture in a liquid state by suppressing evaporation of the moisture by keeping the pressure of the surrounding fluid medium not less than saturation pressure (under a pressure not less than a saturated steam pressure).

KAMEI teaches in lines 7 -12 of column 6 that a screw, extruder type compressing-depressurizing unit is used to exert the mechanical force. A screw, extruder type inherently provides a compression force as well as a shearing force, based on its design (shearing force). In lines 13 – 21 of column 6, KAMEI teaches that the remaining moisture in the dewatered coal in the compressing-depressurizing unit is evaporated. In lines 54 – 68 of column 4 and lines 1 – 15 of column 5, KAMEI explains that the mechanical force is applied to the coal, thereby starting to compress the solid structure of the brown coal while maintaining the elevated temperature and the high pressure of the surrounding fluid medium. In lines 49 – 56, KAMEI further states that in the initial stage of compression, liquid water is expelled from the coal by the mechanical compression (dewatering during application of shearing force).

KAMEI further teaches in lines 5-7 of column 10, that a load of 100 kg/cm² is exerted. 100kg/cm^2 which translates to 9.807 MPa (0.01 MPa to 20 MPa).

Regarding claim 2, the screw extruder type is housed in a compressing chamber in lines 7 – 11 of column 6. Fluid pressure sealings are taught in lines 21 - 24 of column 6 as made by material seal through the tapered moulds (sealed vessel).

Art Unit: 1797

Regarding claim 3, the temperature was taught to be preferably 230 to 350°C (150°C to 300°C).

Regarding claim 4 and 5, the pressure was taught to be 9.807 MPa (not more than the saturated steam pressure of the temperature for the heating +0.5 MPa).

Regarding claim 6, KAMEI teaches in lines 4 - 5 of column 10 that 5 minutes after the inside temperature of the autoclave reached 258°C, the mechanical force was applied and the depressurizing valve was opened to discharge the steam (period of from three minutes to five hours).

Regarding claim 7, an example is given in table 1 from in column 9 that details tha value of the Australian brown coal used has a moisture value of 65.5%. (25% to 85% of water)

Regarding claim 10 – 12, KAMEI gives an example in Table 2 that details that the present invention leaves the coal with 3.9% wt moisture (coal containing not more than 15 weight% of water) According to lines 23 – 27 of page 10 of the represent application, water that is preferably removed substantially completely is 0 to 15 weight% (substantially does not contain water).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1797

7. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KAMEI (U.S. 4,702,745) in view of VERSCHUUR (U.S. 4,216,082).

The above discussion of KAMEI is incorporated herein by reference.

KAMEI teaches a process for dewatering brown coal that comprises removing the water that is present in brown coal in a sealed vessel.

KAMEI does not appear to disclose adjusting the water content in the final mixture to 30 weight% to 50 weight%.

However, VERSCHUUR teaches that aqueous coal slurries are obtained for instance in brown coal mines and in the process of dewatering of brown coal in lines 6 – 11 of column 1. VERSCHUUR also teaches that it is possible to have a slurry fraction with a water content of 45 weight percent which is the minimum water content for handling slurries with normal pumps.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add water to coal that KAMEI teaches to a water content of 30 weight% to 50 weight%.

The motivation to do so can be found in lines 12 – 19 of column 1 of VERSCHUUR which teaches that slurries with a high water content % are stable enough to be transported in pipelines.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

8. Claims 13 - 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KAMEI (U.S. 4,702,745) and GREGORY (U.S. 2,824,790).

The above discussion of KAMEI is incorporated herein by reference.

KAMEI teaches a process for dewatering brown coal that comprises removing the water that is present in brown coal in a sealed vessel.

KAMEI does not appear to disclose a method for preparing bitumen -containing coal comprising addition 1 weight% to 25 weight% of bitumen, calculated on the basis of dry coal to the dewatered coal.

However, GREGORY teaches a coal briquetting process where the coal is heated to its fusing temperature after admixture with a fluxing agent to create briquettes. The fluxing agent includes coal tar (coal tar) and bitumen and is preferably less than 8% but preferably 5% by weight on dry basis (1 weight % to 25 weight % of bitumen and 5 weight% to 20 weight%).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to admix the coal with the coal tar that GREGORY teaches.

The motivation to do so can be found in lines 15 - 19 of column 2 of GREGORY. GREGORY teaches that a fluxing agent causes coal to fuse at a temperature below that which it would normally fuse and enlarges the fusing range of temperatures.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/19/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application argues that KAMEI fails to disclose all of the elements of the claimed

Art Unit: 1797

invention. Specifically, applicant argues that KAMEI does not teach applying a shearing force but only a compression force. This is unpersuasive because it is well known in the art that a screw, extruder provides a shearing force by nature of its design.

Applicant further argues that this force was not applied during the dewatering of the water-containing coal at the claimed range of temperature and pressures. Applicant also argues that the method disclosed in KAMEI cannot remove water bound to coal by van der Waals forces because KAMEI fails to teach heating water-containing coal while applying shearing force. However, KAMEI teaches in lines 54 – 68 of column 4 and lines 1 – 15 of column 5 that the mechanical force is applied to the coal, thereby starting to compress the solid structure of the brown coal while maintaining the elevated temperature and the high pressure of the surrounding fluid medium. In lines 49 – 56, KAMEI further states that in the initial stage of compression, liquid water is expelled from the coal by the mechanical compression (dewatering during application of shearing force). There is no reason to believe that the method that KAMEI teaches can not remove water bound by van der Waals forces.

Applicant further argues that KAMEI does not teach a shearing force of more than 0.001 MPa. However, KAMEI teaches in lines 5 – 7 of column 10, that a load of 100 kg/cm² is exerted. 100kg/cm² translates to 9.807 MPa (0.01 MPa to 20 MPa).

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1797

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MING CHEUNG PO whose telephone number is (571)270-5552. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571)272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ming Cheung Po Patent Examiner

/Cephia D. Toomer/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797